For those interested in applying for new or renewal funding through the HUD Continuum of Care program. More announcements soon.

Sarah B. Johnson, MBA, CFRE
Program Coordinator
HOME Coalition
107 Ashford Drive, Apt. 831
West Monroe LA 71291
(318) 547-0407
Nelahomecoalition.org

The FY 2016 CoC Program Competition is Now Open

The Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition has been posted to the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition: Funding Availability page on the HUD Exchange.

Submission Deadline:
Wednesday, September 14, 2016 at 7:59:59 PM EDT

- The FY 2016 CoC Program Competition is administered under the CoC Program interim rule (24 CFR part 578) and covers the application and award process for the FY 2016 CoC
Program funds.

- The electronic application, e-snaps, is **not yet available**. HUD strongly encourages CoCs, Collaborative Applicants, project applicants, and stakeholders to use the time before e-snaps opens to:
  - Carefully and thoroughly read the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA to clearly understand what has changed from last year; and
  - Begin to plan local competitions based on the information, new and changed, from the previous competition, provided in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

- An announcement will be made on the [FY 2016 CoC Program Competition: Funding Availability page](http://www.hudexchange.info) on the HUD Exchange and via listserv message when the e-snaps is available. For your planning purposes, we anticipate this will occur sometime after July 6, 2016.

- HUD will issue a Preliminary Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) Report that includes each CoC’s Preliminary Pro Rata Need (PPRN) and ARD amounts no earlier than July 8, 2016, followed by a final ARD Report no earlier than August 5, 2016 that will include each CoC’s PPRN, ARD, CoC Planning, and Permanent Housing Bonus amounts available.

**What’s New and Special Considerations for the FY 2016**
CoC Program Competition

The list below highlights some important information about what has changed, what is new, and special considerations that CoCs should make while planning for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. This list is not exhaustive, so please plan to watch the upcoming 2016 NOFA Broadcast and read the Competition Focus Messages for additional information.

- Tier 1 is now 93 percent of the CoC’s ARD amount, up from the 85 percent in the FY 2015 CoC Program Competition, which means CoCs will have an opportunity to protect more of the higher priority projects.

- The amount available for the FY 2016 Permanent Housing Bonus is 5 percent of the CoC’s Final Pro Rata Need (FPRN), which allows HUD to provide permanent housing bonus funding to more CoCs.

- Tier 2 project-level scoring has been revised to include:
  - Up to 50 points based on CoC Application score;
  - Up to 35 points based on where the CoC ranks a project in Tier 2 in the local ranking process;
  - Up to 5 points based on the type of project in Tier 2; and
  - Up to 10 points based on each Tier 2 project’s commitment to a Housing First approach. It is important to note that any project that identifies through the project application as Housing
First will be required to operate as such.

- After several years of preparing for and providing information on performance measures, this is the first Competition where CoCs will be rated for submitting system performance measures, which will be worth 10 points. You can find more information about the System Performance Measures on the HUD Exchange.

- The local ranking process continues to be crucial to making the CoC program as effective as possible. CoCs should use objective, performance-based criteria to rate projects and should consider both the need to serve particular populations (for example, survivors of domestic violence, youth, and persons with substance use disorders) of people experiencing homelessness and the effectiveness of their projects in reducing homelessness.

HUD strongly encourages CoCs to consider the needs of special populations when making local ranking decisions. In particular, HUD has received many questions about transitional housing (TH) projects that serve people fleeing domestic violence. HUD recognizes that in many communities CoC-funded domestic violence programs are vital, particularly in those places where there are no other projects serving people fleeing domestic violence within a reasonable distance. We encourage CoCs to ensure that these programs are reviewed and ranked with special attention to the needs of the population in mind and that these programs are not unfairly disadvantaged in the local decision-making process. However, we also want to ensure that like all CoC-funded projects, projects serving people fleeing domestic violence are as effective
as possible, and we encourage CoCs, when they have TH projects serving households fleeing domestic violence that are lower performing, to reallocate to other types of projects serving people fleeing domestic violence. HUD will release an additional message on this issue shortly.

Listserv Communications

All information related to the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition is communicated via the HUD Exchange Mailing List. Join the mailing list to receive important updates and reminders.

If you are aware or suspect that the Collaborative Applicant, CoC members, or interested stakeholders are not currently receiving these listserv messages, please forward the following link, https://www.hudexchange.info/mailinglist/, to them to register for the listserv messages as this is the only form of communication used by HUD to the public.

If you have questions related to subscribing to the HUD Exchange mailing list or have issues receiving listserv messages in your inbox please contact info@hudexchange.info. Please be sure to add news@hudexchange.info and info@hudexchange.info to your contact list or safe senders list. This ensures that messages from the HUD Exchange go to your inbox and are not filtered to your spam or junk folder.

Questions

If you have questions pertaining to e-snaps technical issues, please submit your questions to the e-snaps Ask A Question (AAQ) portal on the HUD Exchange website. To submit a question to the e-snaps AAQ portal, select “e-snaps” from the “My question is related to” drop down list on Step 2 of the
question submission process.

If you have questions related to the CoC Program interim rule or a policy related question, please submit your questions to the CoC Program AAQ portal. To submit a question to the CoC Program AAQ portal, select “CoC Program” from the “My question is related to” drop down list on Step 2 of the question submission process.

The AAQ portal accepts question submissions 24/7. However, responses are usually provided between 8:00 AM and 5:00 PM Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except for weekends and federal holidays. Additionally, per the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA, starting 2 days prior to the application deadline for FY 2016 funds, the AAQ will respond only to emergency technical support questions up to the deadline of Wednesday, September 14, 2016 at 7:59:59 PM EDT.

Visit the HUD Exchange at https://www.hudexchange.info

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "HOME Coalition NELA" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to home-coalition-nela+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.
For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.
To access the HUD Continuum of Care Program Notice of Funding Availability for 2016 (Grant application and instructions):
https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/fy-2016-coc-program-nofa-coc-program-competition/

TIMELINE FOR SUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS FOR NORTHEAST LA PROGRAMS:

- Wed. July 20 at 10:30 am – Q and A related to the competition during the HOME Coalition’s Membership/Annual Meeting at United Way of NELA, 1201 Hudson Lane (meeting starts at 10:30, Q and A will start at approx. 11)
- Fri Aug 19 (midnight) - Project applications due to HOME Coalition (a folder to accept applications will be created on HOME Coalition’s ShareFile site)
- Fri Aug 26 Projects scoring completed and scoresheets provided to projects FYI
- Mon. Aug 29 – a meeting to rank the projects will be held – site, date and time TBA
- Tues. Sept. 13 – Regional application including all new and renewal applications submitted to HUD via online portal esnaps

NEW PROJECT APPLICATIONS: The HOME Coalition will accept new projects (which are called Permanent Housing Bonus projects) up to the amount of $78,634. Types of project accepted are described on p. 16 of the NOFA:

f. Permanent Housing Bonus. The Permanent Housing Bonus is available to all CoCs to apply for funding to create new permanent supportive housing projects that will exclusively serve chronically homeless individuals and families OR rapid rehousing projects that will serve individuals, including unaccompanied youth, and families coming directly from the streets or emergency shelters or persons fleeing domestic violence or other persons who qualify under paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless; however, these program participants must meet all other criteria for this type of housing (i.e., individuals and households with children who enter directly from the streets or emergency shelter). A CoC is eligible to apply for up to 5 percent of its FPRN and may apply for more than one permanent housing bonus project. New projects created through a permanent housing bonus must meet the project eligibility and threshold requirements established by HUD in Sections V.G.2.b and V.G.2.c of this NOFA.

RENEWAL PROJECT APPLICATIONS: The HOME Coalition will accept renewal project applications for amounts specified on the approved 2016 Grant Inventory Worksheet. Your agency has been provided a copy of the worksheet by email to the project director.
HOW TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO THE HOME COALITION

NEW PROJECT APPLICATIONS
Submit a PDF of each of the following documents by the deadline to the online site shown below.
Documents Required:
- Project Application (saved as a PDF in esnaps) including attachments
- Most recent financial audit with satisfactory response to any findings

How to submit application documents online:
- Go to this website: https://aroofovermyhead.sharefile.com/r-r6ce55f00f764622a to access a folder named NEW Project Applications 2016 CoC Competition
- Log in with User Name homecoalition@gmail.com and Password 2016newNELA
- Upload your documents to the folder NEW Project Applications 2016 CoC Competition
- Your application will be retrieved and will no longer be accessible online. Be sure to save a copy for your files!

RENEWAL APPLICATIONS:
Submit a PDF of each of the following documents by the deadline to the online site shown below.
Documents Required:
- Project Application (saved as a PDF in esnaps) including attachments
- Annual Performance Report (APR) for last completed project year or Partial-year APR for projects which have not yet completed first full year of operation (IF THE APR IS ALREADY IN THE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE FOLDER IT WILL BE ACCESSED THERE; YOU NEED NOT UPLOAD A DUPLICATE IN THIS FOLDER)
- Most recent financial audit with satisfactory response to any findings
- Monitoring report for the project being renewed, if any, and agency response

How to submit application documents online:
- Go to this website: https://aroofovermyhead.sharefile.com/r-rafb2729af7c45e98 to access a folder named RENEWAL Project Applications 2016 CoC Competition
- A folder for each agency eligible to renew will be in this main folder. Log in using your normal ShareFile User Name and Password. If you do not yet have access to ShareFile, have your program director request one for you.
- Upload your documents to your agency’s subfolder.
- Be sure to save a copy for your files!

Watch the HOME Coalition’s website at nelahomecoalition.org for announcements, etc., related to the competition. Persons attending the informational meetings or signed up on the HOME Coalition’s email mailing list will also receive notices by email.

Submit questions in writing to sarah@sarahbjohnson.com. All Q and A will be posted on the website so they can be seen publically.
### Monroe/Northeast LA CoC LA-505
### FY 2016 Competition Score Sheet Data and Ranking Committee Data by Project

#### Project Application Ranking Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>TRANSITIONAL HOUSING</th>
<th>PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING</th>
<th>RAPID REHOUSING</th>
<th>HMIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W Raise</td>
<td>W Reach</td>
<td>CYC</td>
<td>W Hope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Roof</td>
<td>Out Rural</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>in Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SCORING CRITERIA

1. **Project application**
   - Applicant docs required:
     - Project application: Y
     - Financial audit: Y
     - APR or HMIS report: Y
     - Monitoring report if any: N/A
     - LOCSS reports (two req’d): N/A
     - Complaints certification: N/A

2. **Financial timeliness**
   - Qtrly drawdowns? LOCSS: Y
   - Funds reclaimed by HUD? APR: N

3. **Audit findings**
   - Audit: N

4. **Monitoring report and response**
   - Mntr Rpt: N/A

5. **Housing rank component (PH/RRH or TH)**
   - Appl: TH‐DV
   - TH‐DV: TH‐Y

6. **Priority subpopulation (CH/Vets or Fam/Y or other)**
   - Appl: Fam

7. **HMIS standards (HMIS apps only)**
   - Appl: N/A

8. **Project apps only:**
   - Employment income measure: APR
   - Total income measure: APR
   - Mainstream benefits perf measure: APR
   - Housing stability measure: APR

#### PRELIMINARY SCORE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Sheet</th>
<th>42.5</th>
<th>39.7</th>
<th>40.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### OTHER STATS

- **Employment income measure**
  - APR: 71
  - N/A

- **Utilization rate (avr bed util rate)**
  - APR: 86
  - N/A

- **Amount if any returned to HUD**
  - APR: 3.081.00
  - $14,012.00

- **Housing first?**
  - Appl: Y

- **Cost per person served**
  - APR: $4,830.00

- **Length of time homeless (avr - leavers/stayers)**
  - APR: 143/106

- **Type of area served (Oua, rural, both)**
  - Appl: Oua

---

**Notes:**
- TH: Transitional Housing
- RRH: Rapid Rehousing
- PSH: Permanent Supportive Housing
- Oua: Oua
- Rural: Rural
- Both: Both
- N/A: Not Applicable
- N/A: Not Available
- Y: Yes
- N: No
## READER SCORE SHEET FY2016 COC COMPETITION

### Monroe/NELA Continuum of Care NEW Project Applications

**Instructions:** Please complete one score sheet for each new project application using the Applicant Profile and Project Application and supporting documents provided. Partial points can be provided for each rating factor (i.e., you may award fractional points up to the maximum allowed). Then please print and sign each completed form, scan, and email scan to homecoalition@gmail.com and mail signed original to HOME Coalition, 400 Hart St., Monroe LA 71201. PLEASE PROVIDE SCANS OF COMPLETED SCORE SHEETS NO LATER THAN 5 PM AUG. 26TH

### Applicant #

### Applicant Name

### Type Project: Bonus Project - PSH or RRH

### Amount Requested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATING FACTORS</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>THRESHOLD</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eligibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant is an eligible organization</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed activities are allowed for type of proposed project</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is either PSH that will serve chronically homeless individuals and families or rapid re-housing project that will serve individuals, families or unaccompanied youth who come directly from the streets, emergency shelters, or are fleeing domestic violence or other persons who meet the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct term proposed</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will participate in local HMIS except domestic violence programs</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined points for eligibility (3 pts)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost effective based on exits and exits to PH destinations (5 pt)</td>
<td>Award up to 5 pt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Applicant Capacity

Applicant has knowledge and experience of homelessness and serving the target population and of providing the specific services proposed as well as management structure to adequately coordinate and manage funds requested. Please provide a description of the applicant’s experience with similar projects and with successful administration of SHP or CoC Program funds or other Federal funds. (5 pts)

**Award up to 5 pts.**

### Quality

Type, scale and location of housing and supportive services fit participant needs (2 pt)

Type and scale of the supportive services fit the needs of the program participants and ensure successful retention or help to obtain PH -- this includes all supportive services, regardless of funding source (2 pt)

Specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of the mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of the program participants (2 pt)

Plan for how program participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (2 pt)

At least 75 percent of the proposed program participants come from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation, emergency shelters, safe havens, or fleeing domestic violence (2 pt)

Includes required match (2 pt):

The recipient or subrecipient must match all grant funds, except for leasing funds, with no less than 25 percent of funds or in-kind contributions from other sources.

### CONTRIBUTES TO HUD/COC GOALS

Project is RRH to serve individuals, families or unaccompanied youth who come directly from the streets, emergency shelters, or are fleeing domestic violence or other persons who meet the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless. (2 preference pts)

Plan will use the Housing First model (as defined in section III.A.3.e) (4 pts)

Plan for outreach to identify and engage homeless individuals and families: maximum points for outreach to engage homeless individuals and families who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach; 100 percent of the geographic area is covered by the strategies; and specific outreach procedures described including efforts to provide meaningful outreach to persons with disabilities and persons with limited English proficiency (4 pts)

Narrative indicates project will contribute to HUD objectives:

a. At least 80% exit to PH (6 pt)

b. At least 30% of clients exit with employment income OR at least 55% of clients exit with increased total income (4 pt)

### Project Score

(45 possible pts)

Comments: ____________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________

Signature: ______________________ Date: ____________________________
### Name of Project on Project Application

### Applicant Organization

Note: all requirements are specified by U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development for Continuum of Care Program and HOME Coalition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking Item</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>PA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTE: This question is answered for ALL projects.</strong> 1. Completeness of Application Materials Provided for the Project</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were all requested documents provided including:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Project Application including attachments as indicated within that document (1 per project)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submit ONE of these as applicable: 1. Annual Performance Report (APR) as submitted in esnaps for last completed project year or 2. for projects whose APRs are not yet available in esnaps, provide the HMIS APR report for the last completed project year or 3. for projects which have not yet completed first full year of operation, provide a partial-year HMIS APR report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Most recent financial audit with satisfactory response to any findings (1 per applicant)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monitoring report, if any, and agency response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• LOCSS report for most recently completed project year showing dates and amounts of drawdowns (1 per project)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• LOCSS Project Portfolio (SNAP) showing last completed grant period showing Amount Authorized and Amount Disbursed (1 per project)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Documentation of any client complaints and agency response to them (if no complaints attach a statement on applicant letterhead stating that and signed by applicant AOR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> Documents provided to HOME Coalition via Sharefile FY 2016 project application portal by deadline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Guidelines:</strong> All required documents = 5; sliding scale for missing documents; missing Project Application = 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTE: This question is answered for ALL projects.</strong> 2. Financial Timeliness Standards</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the project sponsor expend (i.e., draw down) project funds at least on a quarterly basis during the project year currently ending or, if not, provide a satisfactory explanation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> LOCSS reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Guidelines:</strong> Quarterly drawdowns (or more frequent) = 5; adjust by sliding scale based on presence and severity of delayed draw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTE: This question is answered for ALL projects.</strong> 3. Audit Findings Standards</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the most recent financial audit, were there findings for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> Most recent financial audit and response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Guidelines:</strong> Unqualified audit, no findings = 5; adjust by sliding scale based on presence and severity of findings with unsatisfactory responses. This question applies to the APPLICANT and not the project, so that you can give points even if the individual project you are scoring was not specifically addressed in the audit report you are reading.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTE: This question is answered for ALL projects.</strong> 4. HUD Monitoring Report and Responses (IF APPLICABLE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If HUD completed a monitoring visit in the last 12 months, Applicant will attach a copy of the Monitoring Report. If there are findings reported in the Monitoring Report, the Applicant will have a specified number of days to respond. This standard assesses whether any responses were overdue or were unsatisfactory.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> Monitoring report, if any, and agency response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Guidelines:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If no monitoring was done, the score on this question is zero (no points deducted).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If monitoring done and there were no findings, the score on this question is zero (no points deducted).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If monitoring done and there were findings, you may deduct up to 5 pts on sliding scale based on presence and severity of findings and responses; findings reported for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory with no responses = 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTE: This question is answered ONLY for Permanent Housing (PH) Projects including Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing Projects and Transitional Housing (TH) Projects. It is N/A for all others and they are not scored on this question.</strong> 5. Housing Component Rank Preference</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the type of housing provided consistent with HUD Conditional Rank Preferences?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> Project application</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Guidelines:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Permanent Housing (PH=Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing) and Transitional Housing (TH) that exclusively serves homeless youth (up to age 24) = 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transitional Housing (TH) OTHER than those that exclusively serve homeless youth = 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**NOTE:** This question is answered ONLY for Permanent Housing (PH) Projects including Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing Projects and Transitional Housing (TH) Projects. It is N/A for all others and they are not scored on this question.

### 6. Priority Subpopulation Served:
Best practices for programs serving the homeless specify that homeless persons should receive housing and supportive services tailored to their subpopulation’s unique needs. Subpopulations may include Chronically Homeless, Veterans, Disabled, Domestic Violence, Seriously Mentally Ill, Families with children, Unaccompanied Youth, HIV/AIDS, Substance Abuse, Other, etc. Priorities for subpopulations to be most quickly served are set locally as well as nationally.

**Source:** Project application

**Scoring Guideline:** Projects serving
- Chronically Homeless and/or Veterans = 5
- Families OR Youth (under 25) (regardless of other needs)=4
- All others= 3

**NOTE:** This question is answered ONLY for the HMIS Project Application. It is N/A for all others and they are not scored on this question.

### 7. HMIS Standards Compliance
How well did the HMIS project comply with HUD national HMIS Standards?

**Source:** Project application

**Scoring Guidelines:** Comply with all standards = 5 points; below 50% = 0

### Questions 8 to 11 come from the Annual Performance Reports (or Partial Year APRs where those are provided) These questions are applicable to PH and TH projects only and N/A for HMIS projects. Answer EITHER question 8 OR 9, using the measure the applicant was required to report on the APR.

**NOTE:** This question is answered ONLY for Permanent Housing (PH) Projects including Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing Projects and Transitional Housing (TH) Projects. It is N/A for all others and they are not scored on this question.

### 8. Employment Income Performance Standard
Report Earned Income Measure from most recent APR data

**Scoring Guidelines:** Employment Income over 30% (CoC Benchmark for National Standard) = full points; below 15% = 0

### 9. Total Income Performance Standard
Report Total Income Measure (cash income from all sources) from most recent APR data

**Scoring Guidelines:** Employment Income over 55% (CoC Benchmark for Natl. Standard) = full points; below 27.5% = 0

### 10. Mainstream Benefits Performance Standard
Report Q26a1 and Q26b1 (noncash benefits) from most recent APR data

**Scoring Guidelines:** Local Benchmark is over 75% (No National Benchmark) = full points; below 37.5% = 0

**Question 11 is applicable to PH and TH projects only and N/A for all others. EITHER 11a or 11b is answered, as shown in the APR.**

**NOTE:** This question is answered ONLY for the PH projects including Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing Projects. It is N/A for all others and they are not scored on this question.

#### 11a. Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing Projects Performance Standard:
Report Housing Stability Measure from most recent APR

**Scoring Guidelines:** Over 82% (CoC Benchmark for National Standard) = full points; below 41% = 0

**NOTE:** This question is answered ONLY for the Transitional Housing. It is N/A for all others and they are not scored on this question.

#### 11b. Transitional Housing Projects Performance Standard:
Report Housing Stability Measure from most recent APR

**Scoring Guidelines:** Over the 80% (CoC Benchmark for National Standard) = full points; below 40% = 0

**Comments:**

**Project Score** 45
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Expiring Grant #</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Component Type</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Northeast LA HMIS</td>
<td>LA0113L6H051508</td>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>$74,246.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elisha Ministries DBA Supportive Housing of Northeast LA</td>
<td>Elijah Rural Supportive Housing</td>
<td>LA0116L6H051508</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$210,177.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rays of Sonshine</td>
<td>Rays Sonshine Heights Safe Haven</td>
<td>LA0117L6H051508</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$162,465.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rays of Sonshine</td>
<td>Rays Sonshine Yellow House</td>
<td>LA0163L6H051504</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$51,393.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Wellspring PHP</td>
<td>LA0175L6H051504</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$51,672.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Wellspring Help and Home</td>
<td>LA0176L6H051505</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$87,928.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Wellspring PSH II</td>
<td>LA0186L6H051504</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$105,667.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Wellspring Hope in Action</td>
<td>LA0112L6H051508</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$107,656.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Wellspring Ouachita Raise the Roof</td>
<td>LA0114L6H051508</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>$163,083.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Our House, Inc.</td>
<td>CYC/Our House Supportive Housing Program</td>
<td>LA0118L6H051508</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>$58,542.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Wellspring Reach Out: Rural Initiative</td>
<td>LA0115L6H051508</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>TH</td>
<td>$265,653.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Wellspring Regional Rapid Rehousing Project</td>
<td>LA0263L6H051500</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$140,228.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rays of Sonshine</td>
<td>Rays Sonshine Rental Assistance</td>
<td>LA0264L6H051500</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$93,963.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>The Wellspring Alliance for Families, Inc.</td>
<td>Wellspring Family Rapid Rehousing Project NEW</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>$78,634.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total $1,651,307.00

Tier 1 $1,462,587.00
Tier 2 $188,720.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Ranked</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$74,246.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$210,177.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$162,465.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$51,393.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$51,672.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$87,928.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$105,667.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$107,656.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$163,083.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$58,542.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$265,653.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$140,228.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$93,963.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$78,634.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CoC Policy and Procedure Regarding Ranking and Review

Policy
It is the policy of the HOME Coalition to select and rank new and renewal projects using a collaborative process for developing applications and approving submission of applications in response to a NOFA published by HUD in concert with the funding priorities and plan adopted by the CoC. Projects selected for submission will be selected by a process that is transparent, inclusive, and in accordance with the CoC’s conflict of interest policy.

Procedures
1. As soon as practicable upon release of the HUD NOFA, the CoC will issue a public announcement of the availability of funds and a timeline for the local competition. A mechanism for interested applicants to submit questions and request help accessing technical assistance will be provided.
2. Applications submitted for funding will be scored by an unbiased reader panel of persons familiar with homeless and/or community needs and social services programming. Readers will score applications using publicly announced objective criteria approved by the CoC. These criteria will be grounded in CoC and HUD performance standards and best practices. Application scores may also be weighted by established CoC and national priorities for priority types of housing and subpopulations to be served. These scoring criteria and priorities will be summarized in a written quantitative scoring instrument provided by the CoC.
3. Ranking of applications will be based on applications' average scores on the CoC-provided scoring instrument, with the resulting ranking array evaluated against how well it addresses local and national priorities and gaps. The evaluation of the array will be conducted in a public Board meeting by the CoC lead agency's Board members who have no conflict of interest. The CoC lead agency's Board includes representatives of the various homeless subpopulations as well as from throughout the CoC region; of government, nonprofits, and faith-based groups; of homeless and formerly homeless persons; and of businesses, schools, and other stakeholder groups reflecting a diverse range of opinion about homelessness. The process used will be the focused conversation group facilitation method using a trained moderator.
4. Applicants will be notified in writing of the CoC's selections for funding and all projects their ranking and the Minutes of the public Board meeting where the ranking process was conducted.
5. The CoC will post on its website all parts of the CoC Consolidated Application, including the Priority Listings and Minutes of the ranking/evaluation process, before the submission deadline and notify community members and key stakeholders that the application is available.

CoC Policy Regarding Reallocation

CoC Reallocation is based on nonperformance of projects. See below for policy. Recommendations for non-renewal are by vote of Board with abstentions of any members affiliated with agencies whose project(s) are being proposed for non-renewal.

From the CoC Assessment and Monitoring Policies
9. Any assessment which identifies a project as needing technical assistance or non-performing in any of the four areas of review shall be reviewed directly with the affected agency and the agency offered
opportunity to provide a written response, objection and/or plan of action. Such response shall be reviewed by the committee and considered before the Committee makes any report to the Board regarding the project. Such response from the Agency shall also be included in the report to the Board unless the Agency requests otherwise.

10. Any recommendation for non-renewal due to lack of performance and all information upon which the recommendation is based shall be thoroughly reviewed with the Agency at least 5 working days before such recommendation is presented to the Board for action.